Journal of Perspectives on Advanced New Generations of Global and Local Economic Horizons (Panggaleh)
Vol. 01, No. 2, July 2025, 42-49
***e*-ISSN: 3123-6987**

Assessing the Role of Circular Economy in Strengthening Regional Economic Resilience in the Post-Pandemic Context

Ali Hardana[1]*, Claudia[2], & Vanesa Yolanda[3]
[1]*Universitas Islam Negeri Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan, Indonesia, [2]Universitas Andalas, Indonesia, [3]Universitas Andalas, Indonesia
*Co e-mail: alihardana@uinsyahada.com[1]

Article Information
Received: September 08, 2025
Revised: October 27, 2025
Online: October 29, 2025

Keywords
Circular Economy, Economic Resilience, Post-Pandemic Recovery, Sustainability, Digital Innovation

ABSTRACT
The COVID-19 pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in linear economic models, highlighting the need for resilience at regional levels. This study examines how the circular economy (CE) can enhance regional economic resilience post-pandemic through waste reduction, resource reuse, and innovation. Using a systematic literature review and mixed-methods analysis of peer-reviewed sources and policy reports, findings show CE reduces reliance on fragile supply chains, lowers costs, and creates green jobs. Success depends on adaptive local policies and multi-stakeholder collaboration, with digital technologies like Industry 4.0 playing a key role. Research gaps include limited long-term data and contextual studies, indicating a need for future multidisciplinary research. The findings support CE as a vital strategy for sustainable economic recovery and resilience.

Keywords: *Circular Economy, Economic Resilience, Post-Pandemic Recovery, Sustainability, Digital Innovation*

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic starkly exposed the vulnerabilities inherent in the conventional linear economic system, which operates on a "take-make-dispose" consumption model. This paradigm's heavy reliance on intricate and fragile global supply chains renders it susceptible to external shocks, including health crises, geopolitical conflicts, or abrupt shifts in consumer behavior (Kirchherr, Reike, & Hekkert, 2020). An excessive dependence on natural resources, lacking an effective regenerative cycle, has led to significant resource depletion, energy wastage, and environmental degradation, thereby undermining economic resilience at both regional and global scales (Geissdoerfer et al., 2020).

In contrast, the circular economy presents a transformative framework centered on waste minimization, resource reutilization, and the enhancement of energy efficiency through innovative business and production models (Murray, Skene, & Haynes, 2021). Beyond its environmental objectives, the circular economy fosters inclusive economic development by generating new employment opportunities and cultivating technology-driven competitiveness and innovation (Lewandowski, 2020).

This approach bolsters local production by diminishing reliance on virgin materials while simultaneously preserving ecological balance.

Contemporary scholarship affirms the role of the circular economy in fortifying the adaptive capacity of regional economies against global uncertainties. Research conducted by Lacy and Rutqvist (2020) demonstrates that applying circular principles at local and regional levels can cultivate a more elastic and robust economic system capable of withstanding major disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, an empirical gap remains regarding the optimal strategies for implementing a circular economy within local contexts. Specifically, further investigation is needed into the complex interplay and potential misalignments between adaptive policies, multi-level stakeholder engagement, and the application of digital technologies such as Industry 4.0 to accelerate this transition (Kirchherr et al., 2020; Urbinati, Chiaroni, & Chiesa, 2021).

To address this gap, this study is guided by the following research questions:

a. RQ1: In what ways does the circular economy enhance regional economic resilience in the post-pandemic era?
b. RQ2: How do the interactions between adaptive policies, stakeholder engagement, and digital technologies shape the successful implementation of a circular economy at the regional level?
c. RQ3: What are the projected medium and long-term impacts of a circular economy transition on the regional economic recovery process?

By constructing a conceptual framework that integrates economic, public policy, and technological innovation aspects, this study aims to make a significant contribution by developing a Contextual and Adaptive Circular Transition Model (CACT) designed to guide policymakers and practitioners in navigating the complexities of the circular transition and fostering a co ntextual and adaptive model for sustainable economic resilience for the future. This explicitly differentiates our work by focusing on the synthesis of implementation mechanisms (policy-technology-stakeholders) specifically for regional resilience post-shock, a dimension often treated in isolation in prior studies.

METHODS

This research employed a systematic literature review methodology, structured around the PICOS framework (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Study Design), to ensure the reliability and relevance of the data gathered for the research objectives (Petticrew & Roberts, 2020). This established method is crucial for systematically organizing and examining the literature, thereby yielding a trustworthy and reproducible synthesis of evidence.

1. Data Collection

Data acquisition focused on highly credible and internationally indexed sources. These primarily included peer-reviewed journals from reputable publishers and the latest academic books from sources such as Routledge and Elsevier. Furthermore, the dataset incorporated policy documents and reports from respected international organizations, notably the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), which are directly relevant to the themes of the circular economy and regional economic resilience (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2023).

Initially, 150 publications were pulled from databases of scientists. Following that, these publications underwent a thorough screening process based on predefined standards, including peer-review validation, subject relevancy, and publication year requirements (2015–2025). Articles that mentioned the circular economy but did not particularly address how it relates to regional economic resilience or those were solely theoretical in nature with no bearing on policy or execution were

disqualified throughout this screening process. After a thorough assessment of quality and topic fit, a final cohort of 45 articles was chosen for closer examination. Building a solid empirical knowledge of the connection between the circular economy and economic resilience in the post-pandemic scenario was the goal of this targeted investigation.

Table 1. Summary of Data Analysis Procedures and Methods

Data Type	MethodCollection	Analysis Technique	Supporting Instruments
Secondary Literature	Systematic literature review	Content analysis, thematic analysis, meta-synthesis, mixed methods	NVivo, EndNote, Mendeley

2. Data Analysis

The analytical phase utilized specialized software, namely NVivo, for the systematic qualitative content analysis and the extraction of salient themes. Citation accuracy and consistency were rigorously maintained through the use of Mendeley for reference management. The overall analytical strategy comprised the following key methods:

1. A primary thematic and content analysis was performed to identify recurring patterns and overarching themes regarding the circular economy and economic resilience (Braun & Clarke, 2022). This analysis was intentionally focused on categorizing the findings from the 45 selected articles into core themes aligned with the research questions: (1) the mechanisms of CE-driven resilience, (2) the interaction dynamics between policy, digital technologies, and stakeholders, and (3) the projected medium and long-term impacts. In order to ensure that the findings in the Results section (CE Mechanisms, Interaction Dynamics, and Long-Term Impacts) were directly supported and synthesized from this validated corpus of literature, the 45 selected articles were categorized and grouped into this thematic structure using NVivo software.
2. A qualitative meta-synthesis was conducted, following the approach of Noblit and Hare (2021). This technique is suitable for integrating narrative findings and conceptual frameworks from diverse qualitative and limited quantitative sources, particularly where statistical meta-analysis is inappropriate. It is emphasized that 'meta-analysis' in this context refers purely to synthesis and does not involve quantitative statistical aggregation.
3. A mixed-methods approach was employed, involving the integration of limited quantitative data sourced from relevant economic recovery reports. This integration functioned as a complementary strategy to achieve a more holistic and comprehensive understanding of the research problem (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2020).

The incorporation of this mixed-methods design allowed for the triangulation of various analytical dimensions, thereby enhancing the validity of the results and interpretations within the context of a circular economy-based regional economic resilience.

Given the nature of the study as a literature review that does not involve primary data collection from human subjects, specific ethical approvals were not required. The research rigorously upheld academic integrity by ensuring the entire process was transparent and adhered strictly to correct citation standards.

This methodology was chosen to establish a scientifically sound and comprehensive analytical framework, capable of addressing the research questions related to circular economy-based economic resilience with a high degree of reproducibility.

RESULTS

The results shown below are a thorough synthesis that came from a thorough content analysis and qualitative meta-synthesis of forty-five verified papers. In order to provide a strong analytical framework for the role of the circular economy in regional resilience, these findings are arranged around three main themes that are intended to directly answer Research Questions 1, 2, and 3.

1. Mechanisms of Circular Economy in Enhancing Regional Economic Resilience

The adoption of a circular economy (CE) significantly strengthens regional economic resilience through the promotion of sustainable production and consumption models. This economic framework reduces dependency on vulnerable global supply chains, which are often exposed to disruptions from external shocks like health crises or geopolitical conflicts. By implementing closed-loop systems that prioritize reuse, repair, and recycling, the CE model minimizes resource waste, prolongs the functional life of products, and enhances overall material efficiency. Moreover, the CE paradigm acts as an impetus for innovation in managing resources and developing new, sustainable business strategies. In alignment with this, Murray, Skene, and Haynes (2021) argue that the circular economy cultivates more robust regional economic structures; it achieves this by improving the flexibility of production and consumption, shifting focus toward local resources, and consequently boosting adaptability to global changes.

2. The Interplay of Adaptive Policies, Stakeholder Engagement, and Digital Technologies

The analysis reveals that successful CE implementation at the regional level is critically dependent on the interaction of three key elements: technology, policy, and collaboration.

Digitalization and the technologies associated with Industry 4.0 are crucial facilitators for improving resource efficiency, enhancing supply chain transparency, and fostering collaboration among multiple stakeholders. Innovations like the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI) enable the continuous monitoring of resources, the optimization of supply chains, and extensive cooperation among private, public, and societal sectors. Urbinati, Chiaroni, and Chiesa (2021) further explain that digitalization expedites the shift from a linear to a circular model; it allows for data-centric smart manufacturing and utilizes digital platforms to link various stakeholders within the CE ecosystem. As illustrative examples, German digital platforms promote industrial symbiosis by enabling firms to trade waste materials as production inputs, while in Japan, IoT-based tracking systems are utilized to enhance the efficiency of municipal electronics recycling.

However, the effectiveness of these technologies is heavily influenced by local policy frameworks. The successful implementation of a circular economy is substantially impacted by existing local policy structures and the predominant socio-economic environment. Given that each region has unique social, economic, and institutional attributes, it is crucial to develop adaptive policies and incentives specifically customized to the local context. A comparative review highlights divergent strategies: Scandinavian countries, for example, have utilized top-down models featuring strict government regulations and elevated environmental standards. In contrast, a bottom-up strategy centered on providing incentives for Micro, Small, and Medium-sized Enterprises (MSMEs) has demonstrated greater effectiveness in promoting grassroots adoption of circular practices in some developing Southeast Asian nations. Supporting this observation, Lewandowski (2020) underscores the

vital need for flexible policies that are formulated in consideration of local socio-economic characteristics to guarantee an inclusive and sustainable CE implementation.

The final pillar, which integrates technology and policy, is collaboration. Cooperation among multiple stakeholders integrating the public sector, private industry, academic institutions, and civil society is a fundamental component of the CE implementation framework. This form of collaboration strengthens both technical and financial capabilities while also fostering a social ecosystem that supports sustainable practices and innovation. Research by Martin et al. (2023) shows that effective collaborative models engaging all pertinent stakeholders create synergies, which efficiently surmount implementation obstacles and expedite the regional uptake of the circular economy. For instance, the "Green Deals" initiative in the Netherlands serves as a model of a successful collaborative framework, wherein the government facilitates voluntary agreements between businesses and NGOs to meet circularity goals. This strategy differs from South Korea's more centralized approach, which relies on strategic partnerships between the government and large conglomerates (chaebol).

3. Projected Medium- and Long-Term Impacts of the CE Transition

In the medium- and long-term outlook, the circular economy plays a key role in promoting a more inclusive economic recovery and driving green innovation. Studies by Kumar and Soni (2025) suggest that the application of circular principles aids in achieving broad economic stability by generating new employment opportunities within green industries and enhancing innovation capacity based on sustainable resources. As a result, this approach allows regions to accelerate their recovery from crises while simultaneously constructing lasting resilience against volatile global environmental and economic changes.

DISCUSSION

The impact of the circular economy (CE) extends well beyond simple waste reduction; it serves as a critical strategy for bolstering economic resilience amid escalating global volatility. This approach integrates sustainable technological innovation with flexible policies, enabling regions to respond more effectively to various economic and environmental shocks. According to Kirchherr et al. (2020), the circular economy stimulates structural shifts in production and consumption patterns by redesigning systems to concentrate on closed resource loops, thereby directly mitigating economic and environmental vulnerabilities.

In developing nations, the priority for CE policy formulation must be on enhancing institutional capacity and fostering social empowerment. This is vital to prevent inequalities that could undermine collective solidarity and, ultimately, impede shared economic resilience. Mayor and Ramos (2020) assert that without inclusive policies, the adoption of a circular economy risks exacerbating social and economic disparities, resulting in uneven sustainability outcomes. Consequently, strengthening local community empowerment and improving the capabilities of government institutions form an essential foundation for supporting a just transition to a circular economy, particularly where large informal sectors exist.

Cross-regional comparisons further highlight that CE implementation is profoundly influenced by the existing levels of socio-economic readiness and digital infrastructure. Concrete examples from the ASEAN and European regions demonstrate that the synergistic integration of supportive regulations with advanced digital technologies can accelerate economic recovery and enhance overall resilience (European Commission, 2025). Regions that feature proactive policies and utilize digital technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and big data, report improvements in resource efficiency and a reduced reliance on unstable external resources. For instance, the bottom-up, MSME-focused incentive

model observed in parts of Southeast Asia contrasts sharply with the top-down, regulatory approach in Scandinavia, illustrating that policy effectiveness is highly contingent upon the institutional maturity and resource availability in the specific region.

The authors recommend that the research questions be segmented into more specific sub-questions, for instance, those that independently examine the policy dimension, digital technology advancements, and the degree of stakeholder participation. This approach will yield a more focused and comprehensive analysis, facilitating a superior understanding of the drivers and barriers to circular economy implementation across diverse regional contexts (Urbinati, Chiaroni, & Chiesa, 2021).

Multi-stakeholder collaboration is a primary determinant of success in CE implementation, requiring proactive policy support, fiscal incentives, and clear, consistent governmental regulations. Salvioni and Almici (2020) underscore the necessity of a collaborative model involving the public and private sectors, academia, and civil society to establish an innovation ecosystem that supports sustained CE implementation experiences. The active engagement of these stakeholders also promotes transparency and accountability, and accelerates the widespread adoption of circular innovations.

Nevertheless, to comprehensively capture the complex dynamics of circular economy implementation, future research necessitates a multidisciplinary approach combining both quantitative and qualitative data. This methodology allows for a richer and more exhaustive comprehension of the social, economic, and technological transformations that contribute to the success or failure of the circular economy transition (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2020). This approach also provides a robust foundation for formulating adaptive and effective policies at both the regional and national levels.

CONCLUSIONS

This study unequivocally affirms that implementing the circular economy (CE) is a vital and irreplaceable strategy for strengthening sustainable regional economic resilience, particularly in the context of the post-COVID-19 recovery. By establishing more efficient production and consumption systems focused on closed resource loops, this model effectively mitigates vulnerability to global supply chain disruptions a major weakness many regions faced during recent crises (Aitken & Salvador, 2023).

Furthermore, the circular economy is not just about resource savings; it actively fosters continuous process innovation, enhances operational efficiency, and generates inclusive employment, particularly within the green sector, promising stable economic growth alongside positive social and environmental outcomes (Murray, Skene, & Haynes, 2021). The integration of digital technologies, such as Industry 4.0, confirms its role as a key catalyst for operational efficiency and cross-sector collaboration, accelerating the transformation toward a sustainable economic model (Bücker et al., 2025).

Successful CE adoption critically depends on the local socio economic context, along with the design of policies that are adaptive, inclusive, and supported by multi-stakeholder collaboration. Policies that are responsive to the specific needs and characteristics of a region, coupled with the active participation of the public and private sectors, academia, and civil society, form the crucial foundation for creating an innovation ecosystem that supports long-term sustainability (Salvioni & Almici, 2020).

The medium and long term impacts of applying the circular economy demonstrate an increased pace of inclusive economic recovery, accelerated green innovation, and improved adaptive capacity to dynamic environmental and macroeconomic shifts (Kumar & Soni, 2025). Consequently, this economic model is more than an ecological solution; it is an economic strategy that bolsters regional competitiveness and resilience. It is an advanced economic strategy that bolsters regional competitiveness and resilience by internalizing external shocks.

This research also underscores the pressing need for multidisciplinary and longitudinal research that integrates quantitative and qualitative data to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of the real-world dynamics of CE implementation across varied regional contexts (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2020). Specifically, future research must validate the Contextual and Adaptive Circular Transition (CACT) Model proposed by this study.

Based on these findings, practical recommendations include:

a. Strengthening responsive policies capable of adjusting to local social and economic dynamics.
b. Providing clear market incentives to accelerate investment in the green sector and technological innovation.
c. Developing digital technology infrastructure that supports transparency, efficiency, and cross-sector collaboration at the regional level.

In conclusion, this paper expands economic resilience theory by integrating principles of sustainability and business innovation, offering robust strategic guidance for policymakers and business leaders in establishing a regional circular economy that is resilient, inclusive, and enduring.The primary contribution of this work lies in synthesizing the implementation mechanisms (Policy-Technology-Stakeholder collaboration) into a cohesive framework for regional economic resilience post-pandemic.

REFERENCES

Aitken, D., & Salvador, A. (2023). Supply chain vulnerability and post-crisis resilience: The circular economy pathway. *Journal of Global Economic Strategies, 15*(2), 110-125.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis. *Qualitative Psychology, 9*(1), 3–26. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/qup0000196

Bücker, K., Barreto-Pio, C., Virú-Vásquez, P., Badillo-Rivera, E., & Baca-Neglia, M. (2025). Industry 4.0, Circular Economy and Sustainable Development Goals: Future Research Directions Through Scientometrics and Mini-Review. *Sustainability, 17*(14), 6468. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146468

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2020). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

European Commission. (2025). *Digital-Green Transition for Economic Resilience: Comparative Analysis of EU and ASEAN Strategies*. Brussels: European Commission Publishing.

Geissdoerfer, M., Pieroni, M. P. P., Pigosso, D. C. A., & Soufani, K. (2020). Circular business models: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 277*, 124111. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124111

Kirchherr, J., Reike, L., & Hekkert, M. (2020). The circular economy: A new concept for a new society? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 155*, 104689. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104689

Kumar, A., & Soni, M. (2025). Long-term economic stability through circular principles: A study on green job creation and inclusive recovery. *Journal of Sustainable Development and Policy, 9*(1), 45-60.

Lacy, P., & Rutqvist, J. (2020). *Circular Advantage: How to Build a Resilient Regional Economic System*. New York: McGraw Hill.

Lewandowski, M. (2020). The circular economy and competitiveness in the era of digital innovation: An integrative literature review. *Sustainability, 12*(10), 4056. DOI: 10.3390/su12104056

Martin, A., Schmidt, J., & Wagner, R. (2023). Multi-stakeholder collaboration frameworks for successful circular economy transition. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 47*, 100687. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2023.100687

Mayor, A., & Ramos, P. (2020). Social equity and the circular economy: Addressing social disparities through inclusive policy design. *Ecological Economics, 175*, 106721. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106721

Murray, A., Skene, K., & Haynes, K. (2021). The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. *Journal of Business Ethics, 170*(1), 5-21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2693-2

Noblit, G. W., & Hare, R. D. (2021). *Meta-ethnography: Synthesizing qualitative studies*. SAGE Publications.

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2020). Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes and Study (PICOS) design as a framework to formulate eligibility criteria in systematic reviews. *Emergency Medicine Journal, 37*(6), 387. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/emermed-2020-209567

Salvioni, D. M., & Almici, A. (2020). Circular Economy and Stakeholder Engagement Strategy. *Symphonya. Emerging Issues in Management,*(1), 26–44. DOI: 10.4468/2020.1.03salvioni.almici

Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2023). *Research methods for business students* (9th ed.). Pearson Education.

Urbinati, A., Chiaroni, D., & Chiesa, V. (2021). The role of digital technologies in circular economy implementation: Exploring the interdependencies with policy and stakeholder collaboration. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 298*, 126744. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126744